INFORMATION SHEET

DETERMINATIONS OF NO JURISDICTION FOR ISOLATED, NON-NAVIGABLE, INTRA-STATE WATERS RESULTING FROM U.S. SUPREME COURT DECISION IN SOLID WASTE AGENCY OF NORTHERN COOK COUNTY V. U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS

Walla Walla District

FILE NUMBER:					No. 041						
I IIII I CHIDDIN					1111 11 110. 011 100000						
REGULATORY PROJECT MANAGER:				Gregg	Gregg A. Rayner			Date:	Septe	mber 2	9, 2004
PROJECT REVIEW/DETERMINATION COMPLETED:					In the office (Y/N)			Date:			
					At the project site (Y/N)			Date:	August 27, 2004		
PROJECT LOCATION INFORMATION:				THE CHIC	rit the project site (1/14)				Traga	50 27, 2	001
State:	/IXIVI/X I I ()1 11				Idaho					
County:					_	Kootenai					
·											
Center coordinates of site by latitude & longitudinal coordinates:											
Approximate size of site/property (including uplands				us & in aci	res):	30 acres	tlands near Rathdrum Creek				
Name of waterway or watershed:					_	isolated wei	ianus near Naunurum Creek				
SITE CONDITIONS: Type of aquatic resource ¹	0.1	12	125	5 10	10.25	25.50		50	T :		T/1
Type of aquatic resource	0-1 ac	1-3 ac	3-5 ac	5-10 ac	10-25	10-25 ac 25-50		> 50 ac	fee	ıear t	Unknown
Lake									100	•	
River											
Stream	x										
Dry Wash											
Mudflat											
Sandflat											
Wetlands											
Slough											
Prairie pothole											
Wet meadow				X							
Playa lake											
Vernal pool											
Natural pond											
Other water (identify type)											
¹ Check appropriate boxes that be jurisdictional aquatic resource ar		type of iso	lated, non-	navigable, i	ntra-stat	e water prese	ent an	d best esti	nate for	size of	non-
Migratory Bird Rule Factors ¹ :				If Known			If Unknown Use Best Professional Judgment				
				Yes	No	Predicted to Occur		Not Expected to Occur		Not Able To Make Determination	
Is or would be used as habitat for birds protected by Migratory Bird Treaties?						X					
Is or would be used as habitat by other migratory birds that cross state lines?						X					
Is or would be used as habitat for endangered species?							X				
Is used to irrigate crops sold in interstate commerce?							X	ζ.			

TYPE OF DETERMINATION:

non-navigable, intra-state aquatic resource area.

DISTRICT OFFICE:

Preliminary ____ Or Approved X

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION SUPPORTING NJD (e.g., paragraph 1 – site conditions; paragraphs 2-3 – rationale used to determine NJD, including information reviewed to assess potential navigation or interstate commerce connections; and paragraph 4 – site information on waters of the U.S. occurring onsite):

¹Check appropriate boxes that best describe potential for applicability of the Migratory Bird Rule to apply to onsite, non-jurisdictional, isolated,

The wetland is wet meadow located at the discharge point of a small, localized drainage basin. The wetland is dominated by hydrophytic vegetation. Storm water runoff and snow melt flow through the meadow eventually exiting the property via a 1-foot culvert under Hidden Valley Road. From here the flow goes underground. There is no apparent surface or hydrologic connection to any other surface water. The wetland is not boatable. The wetlands are not bordering or contiguous with any other waters of the United States. There is no apparent interstate commerce use of these wetlands, except they are likely used by migratory birds. Based on Solid Waste Agency of Northern Cook County v U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, 531 U.S. 159 (2001), where the United States Supreme Court held that isolated, non-navigable, intrastate waters are not jurisdictional under the Clean Water Act, if the sole interstate commerce nexus is the use of such waters by migratory birds, these wetlands are not subject to CWA jurisdiction. An approved JD has been prepared for the project.